

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN
SOUTHERN MICHIGAN

In re:
City of Detroit, Michigan
Debtor

Bankruptcy Case No. 13-53846
Honorable Thomas J. Tucker
Chapter 9

**CLAIMANT'S RESPONSE TO DEBTOR'S
OMNIBUS OBJECTION TO CERTAIN CHANGES**

Anthony Derrick Smith ("Claimant") hereby responds to the Debtor's Objection to Certain Claims

filed by Claimant. In support hereof, Claimant respectfully states the following:

1. During the bankruptcy proceedings Claimant properly filed his Proof of Claim with the Court.
2. In the Proof of Claim, Claimant asserts a claim against the Debtor in the sum of \$60,805.40 as a result of non-payment of employee wages due to his for employment.
3. In the Objection, the Debtor seeks to disallow the Proof of Claims to zero claiming that there is no basis for the Debtor to have liability.
4. For the reasons set forth in detail below, Claimant requests that the Court overrule the Objection to her claim and allow the claim in the amount set forth in his Proof of Claim.

Factual Background

5. During the 2012, the Claimant was an employee of the Planning and Development Department for the City of Detroit. While an employee of this department his salary was paid for with federal grant funds, i.e. Community Development block Grant funds. At no time was his salary paid using the Debtor's General Fund dollars.

2012b JUL 25 P 357
U.S. BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN MICHIGAN-DETROIT
FILED

6. Beginning in 2012, the Debtor instituted a 10% budget cut instituted through furlough days in order to save General Fund dollars. At no time did Claimant's salary or benefits impact the General Fund.

7. The grant funds retained by the Debtor through the implementation of the furlough days is still being retained by the Debtor and is in danger of being recaptured by the federal government.

8. Because the Claimant's salary is funded by grant funds, it had no impact on the City's bankruptcy.

9. The Debtor bases its Objection on the implementation of the Debtor's CETs. This has no relation to CETs.


10. The Objection is grounded on the belief that there is no valid basis for liability of the City. Liability exists because cuts sustained by Claimant for no relation to the General Fund therefore no relation to the bankruptcy.

11. Because the claims have relation to the bankruptcy, they the claims should be allowed to proceed.

WHEREFORE, for all the foregoing reasons, Claimant, Anthony Derrick Smith Respectfully request that the Court overrule the Objection to Claimant's claim and allow such claim in full in the amounts provided in Claimant's Proof of Claim, and grant such other relief as the Court deems just and equitable.

Date: June 2, 2016
Detroit, Michigan

Respectfully submitted,

By 
Anthony Derrick Smith
19453 Lumpkin
Detroit, MI 48234
(313) 729-3282

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

IN RE:

City of Detroit
Debtor.

CASE NO: 13-53846
CHAPTER: 9

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on 7/25/2016 (date of mailing), I served copies as follows:

1. Document(s) served:

claimants Response

2. Served upon [name and address of each person served]:

Marc N. Swanson
150 West Jefferson Suite 2500
Det. MI 48226

3. By First Class Mail.

Dated: 7/25/2016

2016 JUL 25 P 3 57
U.S. BANKRUPTCY COURT
E.D. MICHIGAN-DETROIT

FILED

Anthony D. Smith
(Signature)
Print Name: Anthony D. Smith